Chapter 3: GRADUATING STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE (GSQ)

Who takes it? All graduating seniors.

When is it administered? Before graduation as part of the clearance process.

How long does it take for the student to complete the instrument? Approximately 15-20 minutes.

What office administers it? It is administered online through the Assessment and Testing Office.

Who originates the assessment? The Provost and the University Assessment Committee.

When are the results typically available? In the fall for the fiscal year.

What type of information is sought? The GSQ asks questions related to student satisfaction, campus involvement, and time spent on certain activities.

From whom are the results available? Assessment and Testing Office, Violette Hall 1130.

To whom are the results regularly distributed?

University, School, and Discipline means and frequencies are sent to the Academic Deans and respective Department Chairs. University averages and frequencies are sent to the Provost, Deans, and Assessment Committee. University-wide results are published in this *Almanac*.

Are the results available by department or discipline? Yes.

Are the results comparable to data of other universities? No.

TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY

FY23 GRADUATING STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE

SUMMER 2022, FALL 2022 AND SPRING 2023 GRADUATES

SUMMARY OF RESPONSES

The Graduating Student Questionnaire (GSQ) is an online web-based survey administered by the Assessment & Testing Office and is completed by seniors as part of the graduation clearance process coordinated by the Registrar's Office each semester.

In April of 2023, Truman was the target of a cyber attack. Students who completed the GSQ were not required to complete the survey a second time, but due to the timing of the attack, many responses were lost when the server hosting those responses was rolled back. Student completion was verified through Banner records.

The questionnaire consists of questions focusing on students' future plans; satisfaction of services and facilities, experiences with faculty, impression of major and liberal arts courses, the major overall, and opportunities for interaction with others; the adequacy of major preparation and education and experiences; the amount of time spent in various activities; and some basic demographic information.

By looking at the means and frequencies of the responses, the university can identify areas of strength to build upon and possible areas of weakness to address. Selected GSQ responses are below, including recent trend data. Incorporating previous years' reference tables is a new addition, and it may be helpful to note trends entering and exiting the peak years surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic. COVID-19 protocols went into effect in the Spring of 2020. Question numbers are provided throughout the text for additional research using the GSQ appendix. The appendix is a comprehensive review of every multiple-choice question with means and frequency distribution charts, included with other appendices in the Assessment Almanac at assessment.truman.edu.

Basic demographic information . . .

509 surveys completed 36.9% male; 60.1% female; 2.9% other 81.3% Caucasian; 15.6% minority

Future Plans . . .

The majority of students plan to be employed either part time or full time upon graduation. More students reportedly plan to continue their education in FY23 compared to responses in FY22. More students indicated they would enlist in the military, matching the highest response rate in the past five years. Interest in volunteer service is at its lowest in five years.

	2. Wha	at is	most li	kely	to be y	our	princip	oal a	ctivity
Question:			u	pon	gradua	tion	1?		
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
	%		%		%		%		%
Employed full/part									
time	54.50	X	53.90	X	48.50		51.90	×	46.50
Grad school /add'l		×							
undergrad	38.20		39.90		44.60	X	41.00		43.30
Military	1.00	*	1.30	*	1.40	×	0.70	*	1.40
Volunteer service	2.80	×	1.80	*	1.90	×	1.80	×	0.80

Satisfaction of experiences, services, and facilities...

Student satisfaction is measured across several questions. Satisfaction regarding some mental health perceptions had been trending down, but seems to be making a recovery. In FY23 students felt a slightly higher sense of belonging on campus (Q14g) and satisfaction with concern for students as individuals increased to a five-year high (Q14r). Satisfaction with faculty advising continues to trend upwards (Q14m). Satisfaction with New Student/CAE advising (Q14n) trended downward each year until FY22, where satisfaction rebounded slightly and continues to improve in FY23. Satisfaction with on-campus work (Q14q) dipped to a five-year low, but students are still at least *satisfied* in this area. Student satisfaction with on-campus work also tends to trend with perceived attitudes of faculty and non-teaching staff towards students (Q14o – mean 3.21 and Q14p – mean 3.21). Satisfaction with the quality of instruction (Q32) is on a five point scale, where students have consistently reported being *satisfied*.

	14g. How satisfied were you with your sense of										14r. How satisfied were you with Trumar							n's	
Question:			belon	ging	on thi	s car	npus?		_	Question:		СС	oncern f	for y	ou as a	n in	dividua	1?	
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
	%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%
1 - Very dissatisfied	4.9		6.8	*	7.4	×	5.2	×	6.2	1 - Very dissatisfied	8.4		7.2	*	8.8	*	7.2	×	6.9
2 - Dissatisfied	15.5	*	16.1	-	15.9	*	17.4		14.4	2 - Dissatisfied	17.5	×	19.9	1	19.4	*	16.4	-	16.1
3 - Satisfied	51.2	×	51.1	*	51.9	*	55.2	×	57.4	3 - Satisfied	51.8	×	53.5	1	53.1	*	59.3	-	58.3
4 - Very satisfied	28.4	1	25.9	-	24.8	-	22.2	1	22.0	4 - Very satisfied	22.3	1	19.4	1	18.7	1	17.0	*	18.8
Mean - 1-4 above	3.03	1	2.96	1	2.94	+	2.94	×	2.95	Mean - 1-4 above	2.88	7	2.85	7	2.82	×	2.86	×	2.89
	14r	n. H	ow sati	sfie	d were	you	with a	cadr	nic		14	n. H	ow sati	sfie	d were	you	with a	cadr	nic
Question:	adv	visir	ng by fa	cult	y advis	ors i	n your	majo	or?	Question:	а	dvis	ing by I	New	Studer	nt/C	AE advi	sors	?
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
	%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%
1 - Very dissatisfied	7.6	×	7.0	×	7.6	×	6.4	7	5.1	1 - Very dissatisfied	10.8	×	12.1	7	14.6	7	14.5	7	13.1
2 - Dissatisfied	15.0	×	11.7	*	12.6	*	11.6	7	10.7	2 - Dissatisfied	19.5	×	21.8	7	21.7	7	19.2	+	19.2
3 - Satisfied	43.9		44.1	7	43.0	×	43.9	t	43.9	3 - Satisfied	51.4	7	51.2	7	50.7	×	51.3	×	52.7
4 - Very satisfied	33.5	*	37.2	7	36.8	×	38.2	×	40.3	4 - Very satisfied	18.3	7	14.9	7	13.0	×	15.0	t	15.0
Mean - 1-4 above	3.03	*	3.11	7	3.09	×	3.14	×	3.19	Mean - 1-4 above	2.77	7	2.69	7	2.62	×	2.67	×	2.70
	32. Ir	ndic	ate you	ır le	vel of s	atisf	action	witł	n the		14q.	. Ho	w satisf	ied	were y	ou v	vith on-	cam	npus
Question:		q	uality o	fins	structio	on at	Truma	n.		Question:			w	ork	experie	ence	s?		
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
	%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%
1 - Very dissatisfied	0.9	*	1.1	*	1.7	*	1.4	*	0.8	1 - Very dissatisfied	2.3	*	2.2	*	2.6	*	2.5	*	3.4
2 - Dissatisfied	3.8	*	4.0	×	3.2	*	3.0	*	3.4	2 - Dissatisfied	5.3	*	7.4	*	7.0	×	6.7	×	6.5
3 - Neutral	12.8	*	13.6	×	11.4	*	12.8	*	10.3	3 - Satisfied	54.8	*	56.2	×	56.7	×	56.0	*	58.8
4 - Satisfied	54.5	*	54.7	7	54.4		55.5	×	62.0	4 - Very satisfied	37.6	×	34.1	×	33.7	×	34.7	×	31.4
5 - Very satisfied	28.1	-	26.7	*	29.3	×	27.3	7	23.6	Mean - 1-4 above	3.28	×	3.22	-	3.21	×	3.23	*	3.18
Mean - 1-5 above	4.05	*	4.02	*	4.06	-	4.04	†	4.04										

Majors, LSP, and co-curriculum education....

On average, student satisfaction with their first major (Q22) slipped slightly for the first time in five years, and satisfaction with the second major (Q24) held steady at the previous five-year low. Regarding LSP or Dialogues courses (Q9), students responded that those courses were roughly equally challenging over several years, but students reported these courses were least challenging in FY23. The dip in LSP/Dialogues challenge might be attributable to a change in nomenclature for this question – the question now encompasses General Education (LSP or Dialogues). Adequacy of being prepared regarding knowledge of modes of inquiry or processes in the first major (Q12a) have been steadily reported as more than adequate, though adequacy is tied with a five-year low. Adequacy of subject matter knowledge (Q12b) has remained roughly equal over several years but also dipped to a five-year low in FY23. Students reported being prepared to think critically (Q12h) at similar levels to previous years, and both critical thinking and subject matter knowledge are reported as more than adequate. 1% or fewer of students reported being very inadequately prepared to think critically (Q12h) and being very inadequately knowledgeable with subject matter in their major (Q12b).

	22. How satisfied are you with your first majo											24. How satisfied are you with your second						ond					
Question:		ow s		d are	<u> </u>	yith y		st m	<u> </u>		Question:					major?							
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			
	%		%		%		%		%			%		%		%		%		%			
1 - Very dissatisfied	5.6	X	5.3	×	5.1	×	3.6	1	3.7		1 - Very dissatisfied	7.1	×	5.0	×	4.3	1	5.3	1	7.0			
2 - Dissatisfied	5.7	×	5.1	×	4.8	X	4.1	*	5.3		2 - Dissatisfied	7.1	*	7.9	*	9.6	*	11.4	×	10.5			
3 - Satisfied	41.4		44.1	×	38.9	1	41.5	1	41.9		3 - Satisfied	48.1	×	47.5	*	49.6	1	50.0	×	47.4			
4 - Very satisfied	47.2	×	45.5	*	51.3	×	50.8	×	49.0		4 - Very satisfied	37.7	*	39.6	×	36.5	×	33.3	*	35.1			
Mean - 1-4 above	3.30	+	3.30	*	3.36	*	3.39	-	3.36		Mean - 1-4 above	3.16	×	3.22	-	3.18	×	3.11	+	3.11			
												12	2a. H	low ade	equa	ately ha	s yo	ur first	maj	or			
	9. I	How	often	were	e your (Gene	eral Edu	ıcati	on			prepa	prepared you regarding knowledge of modes of										
Question:	С	ours	es (LSP	or [Dialogu	es) d	challen	ging	?		Question:		ing	uiry or	pro	cesses i	in yo	our maj	or?				
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			
	%		%		%		%		%			%		%		%		%		%			
1 - Never	2.9	*	1.4	*	2.8	*	3.1	*	2.4		1 - Very inadequate	0.7	*	0.5	*	0.6	+	0.6	*	1.0			
2 - Not very often	36.0	*	38.5	*	39.5	×	37.1	*	46.2		2 - Inadequate	2.9	×	3.8	×	2.9	*	4.2	*	3.6			
3 - Often	50.4	*	47.3	7	43.8	×	46.7	7	40.3		3 - Adequate	48.8	×	49.1	7	48.9	×	53.1	×	53.2			
4 - Very often	10.8	×	12.8	×	13.9	*	13.2	1	11.2		4 - Very adequate	47.6	7	46.5	×	47.7	*	42.2	×	42.3			
Mean - 1-4 above	2.69	*	2.72	*	2.69	*	2.70	×	2.60		Mean - 1-4 above	3.43	*	3.42	*	3.44	×	3.37	+	3.37			
	12	b. H	low ade	equa	itely ha	s yo	ur first	maj	or														
	prep	are	d you re	egar	ding kn	owl	edge o	fsuk	oject			12	2h. H	low ade	qua	tely ha	s yo	ur first	maj	or			
Question:			mat	tter	in your	maj	or?				Question:		р	repared	d yo	u to thi	nk c	ritically	?				
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			2019		2020	-	2021		2022		2023			
	%		%		%		%		%			%		%		%		%		%			
1 - Very inadequate	0.4	*	0.5	+	0.5	×	0.2	*	1.0		1 - Very inadequate	0.5	*	0.7	×	0.6	×	0.5	×	0.2			
2 - Inadequate	1.8	*	3.3	×	2.2	*	3.2	×	3.0		2 - Inadequate	2.5	*	2.8	×	2.5	×	2.4	×	2.2			
3 - Adequate	40.3	*	40.6	*	39.5	*	42.5	*	45.8		3 - Adequate	37.3	×	34.6	*	35.1	*	40.2	*	41.7			
4 - Very adequate	57.4	×	55.6	*	57.8	×	54.0	×	50.3		4 - Very adequate	59.8	×	61.8	*	61.9	×	56.9	×	55.9			
Mean - 1-4 above	3.55	×	3.51	*	3.55	×	3.50	×	3.45		Mean - 1-4 above	3.56	×	3.58	1	3.58	×	3.54	*	3.53			

Amount of time spent . . .

The GSQ asks several questions regarding how students spend their time. On average, students indicated spending less time on course-related work outside of class (Q5) in FY23. Time spent on academic organizations (Q16a) is down for FY23, but FY22 was a five-year high by a good margin. Time spent on Greek organizations (Q16c) is at a five-year low. Time spent on intercollegiate sports (Q16g) continues to trend upward and is at a five-year high. On average, time spent socializing with friends (Q16m) has remained reasonably steady. Students reported spending more time on on-campus employment (Q16n) in FY23, reaching a five-year high.

	5. Approximate number of hours spent on cours related work outside of class											16a. Approximate number of hours spent on academic organizations										
Question:				d wo		ide			-		Question:			-	lem		nizat			-		
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		
	%		%		%		%		%			%		%		%		%		%		
1 - 0-5 hours	4.9	X	3.9	-	3.0	X	2.9	*	3.9		1 - None	33.7	-	33.4	*	37.7	X	33.2	*	34.3		
2 - 6-10 hours	20.7	X	20.5	-	18.2	×	18.1	*	19.8		2 - 1-2 hours	33.5	*	35.0	-	33.3	×	29.3	*	30.9		
3 - 11-15 hours	25.2	*	25.1	1	22.8	*	24.8	1	24.2		3 - 3-5 hours	23.0	*	21.0	-	20.1		23.5	×	23.0		
4 - 16-20 hours	22.9	*	23.4	×	24.4	-	22.9		20.8		4 - 6-10 hours	7.0	×	7.6	×	5.7	*	10.1	-	7.9		
5 - 21-25 hours	12.9	×	12.4	*	15.4	*	14.6	*	16.9		5 - 11-15 hours	1.8	*	2.1	*	2.3		3.1	+	3.1		
6 - 26-30 hours	8.3	×	9.1	7	7.7	×	9.5	7	8.6		6 - 16 or more hrs	1.0	7	0.9	×	1.0	*	0.9	*	0.8		
7 - 31 or more hrs	5.2	×	5.6	×	8.5	×	7.0	7	5.7		Mean - 1-6 above	2.13	t	2.13	*	2.05	*	2.23	*	2.17		
Mean - 1-7 above	3.64	*	3.69	×	3.88	×	3.85	*	3.76													
	16c	. Ap	oroxim	ate i	numbe	r of l	hours s	pen	ton			16g	. Ар	proxim	ate	numbe	r of	hours s	pen	t on		
Question:	Greek organizations										Question:	intercollegiate sports organizations										
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		
	%		%		%		%		%			%		%		%		%		%		
1 - None	66.5	×	66.0	*	65.2	*	66.6	*	70.4		1 - None	82.4	*	82.9	*	84.8	×	81.1	*	81.4		
2 - 1-2 hours	6.3	*	6.9	×	8.2	×	5.9	7	5.0		2 - 1-2 hours	2.3	×	2.7	*	3.6	*	3.9	>	3.0		
3 - 3-5 hours	14.2	*	14.8	,	13.5	*	13.3	7	12.7		3 - 3-5 hours	3.0	*	2.4		1.9	*	3.3		2.4		
4 - 6-10 hours	8.3	*	8.5	,	7.4	*	8.2	,	7.1		4 - 6-10 hours	2.7	×	2.9		2.7	*	3.1		2.2		
5 - 11-15 hours	2.7	*	2.2	×	3.4	t	3.4		2.8		5 - 11-15 hours	2.6	*	2.5		1.9	*	2.1	*	2.2		
6 - 16 or more hrs	2.1	*	1.6	×	2.3	*	2.6		2.0		6 - 16 or more hrs	7.0	*	6.6	*	5.2	*	6.5	*	8.9		
Mean - 1-6 above	1.81	*	1.79	*	1.82	*	1.84		1.73		Mean - 1-6 above	1.62	×	1.59	×	1.49	*	1.61	*	1.67		
									,													
	16	m. A	pproxi	mat	e numt	ber c	of hours	s spe	ent			16n	. Ap	proxim	ate	numbe	r of	hours s	pen	t on		
Question:			soci	alizi	ng with	n frie	ends				Question:			emp	loyr	nent or	n car	npus				
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		
	%		%		%		%		%			%		%		%		%		%		
1 - None	1.0	*	1.2	*	1.5	<u>\</u>	1.4	*	1.6		1 - None	31.0		28.4	*	29.6	*	30.9	×	26.6		
2 - 1-2 hours	9.5	1	8.3	*	8.4	1	7.4	*	8.5		2 - 1-2 hours	3.6	*	4.2	-	2.5		4.6		3.6		
3 - 3-5 hours	28.3	1	28.6		27.1	1	27.9		25.4		3 - 3-5 hours	37.9		39.1	1	42.3		39.8		43.0		
4 - 6-10 hours	34.9	t	34.9		31.8	*	35.4	*	37.7		4 - 6-10 hours	14.7		15.1	1	13.0		12.8	*	13.8		
5 - 11-15 hours	15.8		14.2	*	17.1		15.7		15.0		5 - 11-15 hours	7.7		6.6	*	6.7		7.1		6.9		
6 - 16 or more hrs	10.5	*	12.9	*	14.2		12.2		11.8		6 - 16 or more hrs	5.1	*	6.5	1	6.0	1	4.8	*	6.1		
Mean - 1-6 above	3.87	*	3.91	*	3.97	5	3.93	5	3.92		Mean - 1-6 above	2.80	*	2.87	~	2.83		2.75		2.89		

Other information worth noting ...

In general, students would choose to attend Truman again if they had the chance to choose again. Enthusiasm regarding how likely students would choose Truman again has waned nearly every year, particularly when looking at those who responded that they would *definitely* choose Truman again. The averages are similar across years, but it's clear that the sentiment is that students are less enthusiastic.

	3. If		ucould		-		•		you
Question:		ch	loose to	o att	end thi	is ur	iversit	y?	
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
	%		%		%		%		%
1 - Definitely no	5.8	7	5.0	×	5.4	×	5.5	7	4.7
2 - Probably no	17.4	×	20.2	7	16.7	×	18.3	7	17.3
3 - Probably yes	47.6	7	46.6	×	52.9	7	51.0	×	53.9
4 - Definitely yes	29.1	*	28.2	*	25.0	×	25.3	*	24.0
Mean	3.00	7	2.98	1	2.98	7	2.96	×	2.97

High Impact Educational Experiences ...

Students indicated that their education as a whole (Q25) was equally transformative in FY23 as FY22, tied for a five-year low. Similarly, Study Abroad experiences (Q26Aa) are reported to be less transformative. The encouraging information on Study Abroad experiences is that no students indicated *Not At All* or *Slightly* transformative experiences in FY23. Student undergraduate research experience (Q26Ba) slipped significantly to a five-year low. Internship experiences (Q26Da) are also seen as significantly less transformative, compared to prior years. The most positive Internship responses were in FY20, just as COVID-19 pressed the workforce to be more innovative. Means for all 11 "transformative" prompts are equal or lower in FY23 than FY22 (university-wide report p84).

	25. How transformative was your education as a									26Aa. How transformative was						'as y	your Study Abroad					
Question:		-			whole	2				Question:	experience?											
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			
	%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%			
1 - Not at all	1.5	×	2.2	×	2.9	7	2.6	t	2.6	1 - Not at all	0.4	×	1.7	×	0.0	t	0.0	t	0.0			
2 - Slightly	9.8	7	7.1	×	7.7	×	7.8	×	8.7	2 - Slightly	1.7	t	1.7	×	1.6	7	0.0	t	0.0			
3 - Somewhat	30.5	×	32.1	×	32.8	×	37.5	7	36.6	3 - Somewhat	12.1	7	10.2	*	10.7	×	14.5	×	17.1			
4 - Very		×								4 - Very		•		*		×		*				
Transformative	48.5	•	50.0	*	46.8	*	44.6	*	43.9	Transformative	47.0	×	39.6		46.7	•	46.8	1	48.6			
5 - Totally		•		*		•		*		5 - Totally		*		•		•						
Transformative	9.7	*	8.6	1	9.8	*	7.5	1	8.3	Transformative	38.8		46.8	7	41.0	×	38.7	*	34.3			
Mean - 1-5 above	3.55	*	3.56	-	3.53	*	3.47	+	3.47	Mean - 1-5 above	4.22	*	4.28	-	4.27	*	4.24	*	4.17			
		26E	Ba. How	' tra	nsform	ativ	e was y	our			26Da	. Но	w trans	for	mative	was	your Ir	terr	iship			
Question:		Und	ergradı	iate	Resear	rch e	xperie	nce	?	Question:				ex	periend	ce?						
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023			
	%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%			
1 - Not at all	2.7	×	4.0	7	1.9	×	2.3	×	6.5	1 - Not at all	1.8	t	1.8	*	2.6	7	2.1	7	1.6			
2 - Slightly	10.7	7	9.7	×	12.7	7	10.5	×	15.1	2 - Slightly	4.1	7	3.9	*	5.2	×	5.7	×	7.7			
3 - Somewhat	38.1	*	37.4	*	42.7	*	43.6	1	40.9	3 - Somewhat	20.5	7	19.7	*	23.0	*	22.7	*	29.0			
4 - Very										4 - Very		•		*								
Transformative	42.3	×	38.8	*	37.6	×	37.2	*	33.3	Transformative	45.7	×	44.9		48.2	×	45.7		47.0			
5 - Totally										5 - Totally		*										
Transformative	6.3		10.1	×	5.2		6.4	×	4.3	Transformative	27.9		29.7	×	21.0		23.8	×	14.8			
Mean - 1-5 above	3.39	*	3.41	-	3.31	*	3.35	-	3.14	Mean - 1-5 above	3.94	*	3.97	-	3.80	*	3.83	×	3.66			

Second Major Related Responses ...

Of the 509 survey respondents, 51 (10%) students indicated they had a second major and completed the related questions. It's worth remembering that many responses were lost in the server rollback from the cyber-attack, but FY23 is only down 1.1%, in terms of percent of responses indicating a second major. Satisfaction with the quality of instruction (Q23Cb) is much higher than FY22, tied with satisfaction from FY21. Students indicated similar satisfaction with their second major (Q24) in FY23 as FY22. Even with declining satisfaction, students are, on average, responding that they consider their second major to be satisfactory.

	23Cb	. Ho	w satis	fied	were y	ou '	with th	e ov	erall	24. How satisfied are you with							h your second			
Question:	qua	lity	of inst	ructi	on in y	our	second	maj	jor?	Question:	major?									
	2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
	%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%	
1 - Very dissatisfied	2.6	*	6.1	1	1.7	*	8.0	-	1.9	1 - Very dissatisfied	7.1	*	5.0	1	4.3	×	5.3	*	7.0	
2 - Dissatisfied	8.6	*	12.9	*	9.3	*	9.7	×	7.4	2 - Dissatisfied	7.1	*	7.9	*	9.6	*	11.4	×	10.5	
3 - Satisfied	52.3	×	43.2	×	54.2	×	48.7	*	57.4	3 - Satisfied	48.1	×	47.5	*	49.6	*	50.0	×	47.4	
4 - Very satisfied	36.4	*	37.9	*	34.7	×	33.6	×	33.3	4 - Very satisfied	37.7	*	39.6	×	36.5	7	33.3	*	35.1	
Mean - 1-4 above	3.23	×	3.13	*	3.22	-	3.08	*	3.22	Mean - 1-4 above	3.16	*	3.22	-	3.18	×	3.11	+	3.11	