

ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION GROUP

March 2, 2004, 4:00pm
VH 2251

Those Present: Ruthie Dare-Halma, Lou Ann Gilchrist, Sue Pieper, Erika Woehlk, Stephen Hadwiger, Nancy Asher, Doug Davenport, Heidi Templeton, David Gillette, Maria Di Stefano, John Bohac

- I. Spring Project Report – “Senior Test Data Review” – Sue Pieper, Doug Davenport, Barb Price, Dave Rector
 - A. S. Pieper and D. Davenport distributed a handout with their report. The handout included tables and graphs with senior test percentiles presented in various formats.
 - B. After the subgroup made their presentation, the DIG discussed the project.
 1. Some inferences can be made about why certain groups are performing well, but until there is concrete data, the inferences are unverified. The performances probably have to do with motivation and with the type of test given. The motivation subcommittee of the DIG will include a question on the Post-Assessment Survey asking students what they are using the test results for. This will help clarify the differences in performance among and between tests.
 2. Discipline representatives of the DIG are asked to query their division about what they think of providing discipline-specific data regarding all senior tests to all faculty. Please report back to the DIG by the April 13 meeting.

II. Remaining Meeting Times

March 25, 2004, 4:00pm
April 13, 2004, 4:00pm
April 29, 2004, 4:00pm

Meeting adjourned at 4:40pm.

ew